

A Deep Dive into Virtue Ethics

Virtue ethics is a philosophical approach that places the concept of virtue and the moral character of an individual at the center of its ethical inquiry. This perspective fundamentally diverges from other major ethical frameworks that instead prioritize the consequences of actions, the adherence to moral rules, or obedience to divine commands.¹ The central question of virtue ethics is not "What is the right action here and now?" but rather, "What kind of person should one be in order to get it right all the time?".² This focus on the agent's internal state and disposition over a lifetime represents a profound shift in moral philosophy, establishing it as an ethics of being rather than an ethics of doing.³

Chapter 1: The Character-Based Turn in Ethics

This chapter introduces virtue ethics by contrasting it with its two most prominent rivals in normative ethics: consequentialism and deontology. This juxtaposition clarifies why the virtue-based approach is a distinct and often compelling alternative.

A First Look at the Alternatives

Consequentialism, a framework often associated with thinkers like John Stuart Mill, bases the morality of an action on its outcome. The most common form, utilitarianism, holds that an action is ethically justified if it produces the greatest good for the greatest number of people.¹ A utilitarian, for example, would justify helping a person in need because the act maximizes overall well-being or happiness.⁶

Deontology, by contrast, is rooted in the concept of moral duty and rules, regardless of the consequences.¹ Immanuel Kant is a foremost theorist of this approach.¹ A deontologist would argue that an action is right if it aligns with a moral rule, such as "Do unto others as you would be done by".⁶ For a deontologist, it is the adherence to the rule, not the outcome, that makes an action moral.⁷

Virtue ethics, however, offers a different mode of justification. When faced with the same situation, a virtue ethicist would ask, "What would a virtuous person do?" and then conclude that helping the individual is the right action because it is an act of charity or benevolence—a reflection of a good character.⁶ The virtue ethicist's primary concern is not with the rules or the results, but with the moral disposition that leads to the action.¹

While these three approaches are distinct, a nuanced understanding reveals that they are not mutually exclusive. All three can, and often do, make room for virtues, consequences, and rules.⁶ However, what distinguishes virtue ethics is the centrality it accords to virtue itself. For consequentialists, a virtue is a trait that reliably leads to good consequences, while for deontologists, it is a trait possessed by someone who consistently fulfills their duties. In contrast, virtue ethics does not define virtues in terms of some other, more fundamental concept; instead, virtues and vices are foundational to the theory, and other ethical notions are grounded in them.⁶ This fundamental difference in conceptual frameworks is illustrated in the table below. The contrast between an ethics of specific actions and an ethics of a person's entire character reveals a deeper philosophical divergence—one that frames morality not as a series of isolated decisions to be calculated or checked against a rulebook, but as an ongoing, iterative process of shaping one's character over a lifetime.

Ethical Framework	Central Focus	Primary Question	Justification for Moral Action
Virtue Ethics	Character and moral agent	What kind of person should I be?	Because it is a virtuous act characteristic of a good person.
Consequentialism	Consequences of an action	What is the right action to produce the best outcome?	Because it maximizes overall good or well-being.
Deontology	Moral duty or rules	What is my moral duty?	Because it follows a universal, moral rule.

Chapter 2: The Philosophical Foundations

The roots of virtue ethics can be traced to ancient Greek philosophy, beginning with the foundational inquiries of Socrates and culminating in the systematic framework developed by Aristotle.

Socratic and Platonic Roots

The earliest foundations of virtue ethics are found in the work of Socrates, who concentrated his moral inquiries on the nature of the self.¹ This focus on personal character was further developed by his student, Plato, who identified four cardinal virtues: wisdom, justice, courage (fortitude), and temperance.⁸ Plato posited that virtue is a form of knowledge, and therefore, all wrongdoing arises from ignorance. Central to his ethical framework was the idea that virtue and happiness (

eudaimonia) are inseparable, with true fulfillment stemming from a virtuous life rather than the mere pursuit of pleasure.⁹ Plato's philosophy, rooted in the abstract forms or ideals of virtues, provided a powerful starting point for the Western ethical tradition.⁹

Aristotle and the Golden Age

The systematic development of virtue ethics is most prominently attributed to Aristotle and his seminal work, the *Nicomachean Ethics*.¹ For Aristotle, the aim of ethics was to understand and live a life of moral character.¹² He believed that character is not innate but acquired through practice and habituation, likening the process to honing a skill.¹² This concept distinguishes Aristotle's framework from Plato's more abstract intellectualism. While Plato viewed virtue as knowledge, Aristotle grounded his philosophy in the concrete, lived experience of habituation and the function of human beings. This progression from an ethics of ideal forms to an ethics of practical, embodied action is a crucial developmental step in the philosophical tradition. It demonstrates the move from a theoretical ideal to a practical, psychological model of character development, a model that directly informs the later discussions on how virtues are cultivated in practice.

Aristotle's philosophy is built around several core, interconnected concepts: *eudaimonia*, *aretê* (virtue or excellence), *phronesis* (practical wisdom), and the Golden Mean.⁶ These concepts together form a coherent and comprehensive framework for understanding what constitutes a good and flourishing life.

Chapter 3: The Pursuit of Human Flourishing (*Eudaimonia*)

Eudaimonia is the central, organizing principle of Aristotelian ethics. Often mistranslated as "happiness," its true meaning is better captured by the phrases "human flourishing" or "living well".¹³ For Aristotle,

eudaimonia is not a fleeting state of mind or a feeling of pleasure, but the highest human good—an end that is desirable for its own sake rather than as a means to achieve something else.¹⁶

The Highest Good as a Characteristic Function

According to Aristotle's teleological worldview, every living or human-made thing has a unique or characteristic function (*ergon*) that distinguishes it from all others.¹⁶ The highest good of a thing is the good performance of this function, and its virtue is whatever trait or quality enables it to perform that function well.¹⁶ For human beings, the characteristic function is the ability to reason. Consequently,

eudaimonia consists of the "rational activity of the soul in accordance with virtue".¹⁶ Virtues are not merely a means to achieve flourishing but are an essential expression of it.¹ A person who possesses virtues is, by definition, living the flourishing life, even if that life includes moments of sorrow or difficulty, such as telling an upsetting truth to a friend.¹⁵

The path to *eudaimonia* is not a simple one. It is an achievement of a "complete life" that requires long habituation, reflection, and the benefits of appropriate social circumstances.¹⁶ This is not a project that can be completed in a single day, or even in a short period of time.¹⁶ Aristotle's philosophy also acknowledges that external factors, such as wealth and good fortune, are necessary for practicing certain virtues.¹⁵ The need for these external goods reveals a hidden vulnerability in a character-based ethical system. The very foundation of the theory—human flourishing—is shown to be at least partially susceptible to factors outside an agent's control. This intrinsic connection between external circumstances and the ability to live a virtuous life directly anticipates the modern philosophical problem of "moral luck," which questions how we can be held morally responsible for outcomes that are dependent on chance.¹⁷ This aspect of the theory demonstrates that the critique is not a novel invention but

an extension of a problem implicitly recognized by the tradition's founder.

Chapter 4: The Guiding Force of Practical Wisdom (*Phronesis*)

The cultivation of virtue is not a mindless exercise in repeating actions. It requires a guiding intellectual force that Aristotle called *phronesis*, or practical wisdom.¹¹

Beyond Theoretical Knowledge

Phronesis is the intellectual virtue that enables an individual to discern the right course of action in specific, concrete situations.¹¹ It is distinct from abstract, theoretical wisdom, which focuses on universal truths.¹⁹ A person with

phronesis does not simply know what is right; they understand *why* it is the right choice and know *how* to apply that knowledge judiciously in a given context.¹¹ This requires the ability to perceive the morally salient features of a situation, to reason about the appropriate response, and to act accordingly.¹¹

Because it is essential for navigating the complex and often emotionally charged terrain of real-world dilemmas, *phronesis* is considered the "master" or "architectonic" virtue.¹⁹ It is the central, action-guiding excellence that enables the proper exercise of all other moral virtues.¹¹ For instance, a virtuous person with

phronesis can strike the right balance between honesty and kindness, or courage and prudence, and determine the appropriate emotional response to a situation.¹¹ Without this guiding force, the mere possession of other virtues is insufficient for consistently acting in a virtuous manner.¹¹ The example of a good doctor illustrates this perfectly: a physician needs practical wisdom to balance their duty to tell a patient the truth with the desire to be kind and encouraging, especially when facing conflicting goods and complicated circumstances.¹⁹

The Paradox of Cultivation

Aristotle believed that *phronesis* is cultivated through experience and moral education.¹¹ It is a form of learned knowledge that is developed over a long period of time.¹⁸ However, the development of practical wisdom presents an interesting paradox. A person needs

phronesis to know how to properly exercise other virtues, but *phronesis* itself is acquired through the experience of practicing those very virtues. This suggests that moral development is not a simple, linear cause-and-effect chain, but a self-reinforcing, iterative process. Nascent virtuous practice refines judgment, and improved judgment in turn informs and directs subsequent practice. The concept of "Ba," a Japanese notion of context-based learning, offers a useful parallel for understanding this process. In this view, tacit, subjective knowledge is shared and contrasted with others in a specific time and place, allowing individuals to transcend their limited perspectives and create new knowledge.²¹ This understanding transforms the cultivation of virtue from a solitary endeavor into a communal and experiential journey.

Chapter 5: The Doctrine of the Golden Mean

A foundational concept within Aristotle's framework is the doctrine of the Golden Mean, which provides a tangible guide for understanding the nature of virtue.¹⁴

Finding the Middle Ground

The Golden Mean is the principle that a virtue lies as a desirable middle state between two extremes, or vices: one of excess and the other of deficiency.¹³ It is important to note that the mean is not a simple arithmetic average, but a relative and situational middle ground that is determined by a person of practical wisdom.¹⁴ The ancient Greek myth of Icarus, who failed to heed his father's warning to "fly the middle course" between the sea and the sun, serves as a powerful allegory for the dangers of both deficiency (flying too low, near the sea spray) and excess (flying too high, melting his wings in the sun).²² The path to virtue is a deliberate act of balancing extremes in a given situation.

The following table provides a clear illustration of this concept, showcasing how many virtues can be understood as the balanced midpoint between two corresponding vices.

Quality	Excess	Deficiency	Golden Mean
Courage	Recklessness or foolhardiness	Cowardice or timidity	Courage, which lies between recklessness and cowardice ¹⁴
Generosity	Extravagance or prodigality	Stinginess or miserliness	Generosity, which strikes a balance between extravagance and stinginess ¹⁴
Temperance	Self-indulgence or overindulgence	Insensibility or lack of enjoyment	Temperance, which involves moderation and self-control ¹⁴
Justice	Unfairness or unjust punishment	Injustice or bias	Justice, which entails treating others fairly and impartially ¹⁴
Friendliness	Flattery or excessive friendliness	Coldness or unfriendliness	Friendliness, which strikes a balance between flattery and aloofness ¹⁴
Honesty	Bluntness or harshness in speech	Deceit or dishonesty	Honesty, which involves truthful communication without being excessively blunt or deceptive ¹⁴
Patience	Indifference or apathy	Impatience or irritability	Patience, which lies between indifference and impatience ¹⁴
Humility	Self-deprecation or	Arrogance or	Humility, which

	low self-worth	excessive pride	strikes a balance between self-effacement and self-importance ¹⁴
--	----------------	-----------------	---

While the Golden Mean is a cornerstone of Aristotelian thought, it is not without its modern critics. Some contemporary proponents of virtue ethics, such as Rosalind Hursthouse, have argued that the doctrine is mistaken and that it is not a universally applicable concept.²⁴ The ongoing philosophical discussion around this principle reveals that the field of virtue ethics is not a static tradition but a dynamic and self-critical discipline, where even foundational concepts are subject to re-examination and debate.

Chapter 6: Diverse Traditions and Theologians

The lineage of virtue ethics extends beyond Aristotle, influencing other major philosophical and theological traditions that adapted and reinterpreted its core tenets.

The Stoic Path to Virtue

Stoicism, a school of philosophy that emerged after Aristotle, similarly centered its ethics on virtue.¹⁰ The Stoics believed that virtue was the sole and sufficient good (*summum bonum*) and the only thing required for achieving *eudaimonia* and inner tranquility.¹⁰ They embraced the four cardinal virtues—wisdom, courage, justice, and temperance—as the foundation for a life lived well.⁸ For the Stoics, the path to virtue lay in cultivating reason and exercising self-control. They employed practical exercises, such as "negative visualization," to mentally prepare for adversity and develop resilience.¹⁰

Aquinas and the Synthesis of Faith and Reason

A profound development in the history of virtue ethics came with the work of Thomas Aquinas.²⁵ Aquinas's moral philosophy is a masterful synthesis that integrates Aristotelian

eudaimonism with Christian theology.²⁵ He adopted Aristotle's idea of

eudaimonia as the ultimate end of human life (*telos*), but he provided a radical reinterpretation.²⁶ For Aquinas, final, complete happiness could not be achieved in this life, but rather consisted of a supernatural union with God.²⁶

This re-framing of the human purpose fundamentally altered the project of virtue. To achieve this divine beatitude, Aquinas argued that human beings need not only the intellectual and moral virtues discussed by Aristotle—which are attainable through natural practice—but also divinely infused theological virtues of faith, hope, and charity.²⁶ This synthesis transformed virtue from a secular, humanistic project into a divine and supernatural one. Aquinas's ability to adopt the framework of an ancient, non-Christian philosopher and adapt it to serve the ends of Christian ethics demonstrates the tradition's remarkable adaptability and enduring appeal across different belief systems.

Chapter 7: The Modern Revival of Virtue Ethics

For centuries, virtue ethics was largely eclipsed by rule-based and consequence-based ethical theories. However, the mid-20th century saw a dramatic resurgence of interest, sparking a major revival in moral philosophy.⁶

The Crisis in Modern Moral Philosophy

The modern revival of virtue ethics was catalyzed by philosopher G. E. M. Anscombe's influential 1958 article, "Modern Moral Philosophy".⁶ In it, she argued that the concepts of "moral obligation" and the moral sense of "ought" have become incoherent in a secular age.²⁷ She posited that these concepts were survivals from an earlier, Judeo-Christian framework that relied on a divine lawgiver.²⁸ Without the context of a divine commander, these concepts lost their meaning. Anscombe advocated for a return to a "philosophy of psychology" and a focus on the concepts of virtue, character, and human flourishing.²⁷

This call was amplified by Alasdair MacIntyre in his landmark 1981 book, *After Virtue*.²⁹ MacIntyre argued that modern moral discourse is a fragmented and incoherent project that has been reduced to mere expressions of personal feelings, a philosophical state he termed "emotivism".²⁹ He contended that this moral decay was an inheritance from the failure of the Enlightenment to provide a rational, objective basis for morality after abandoning a

teleological structure.²⁹ MacIntyre's work, which offered an Aristotelian, community-based, and teleological ethical tradition as a coherent alternative, became one of the most important texts in the modern revival.²⁹

The revival of virtue ethics can be viewed not just as an academic trend but as a fundamental philosophical critique of the entire Enlightenment project. It views the shift away from character-based ethics as a foundational error that has led to a moral vocabulary that lacks meaning and context.³⁰ The revival is a radical, reactionary movement that seeks to rebuild the very foundations of moral discourse.

The Contemporary Landscape

Since its re-emergence, virtue ethics has developed in several directions beyond a purely "neo-Aristotelian" one.³ These include:

- **Eudaimonism:** This remains the most traditional approach, basing virtues in human flourishing.³
- **Agent-Based Theories:** These approaches emphasize that virtues are admirable traits determined by common-sense intuitions.³
- **The Ethics of Care:** Proposed predominantly by feminist thinkers, this perspective challenges the traditional focus on justice and autonomy, arguing for the importance of "feminine" traits such as caring and nurturing as central to moral life.³

Chapter 8: Modern Applications and Case Studies

The modern relevance of virtue ethics is most apparent in its practical applications in fields that require nuanced human judgment and personal relationships.

Virtues in Professional Life

Virtue ethics provides a powerful framework for addressing complex dilemmas in professional life, from business to medicine.³¹ A compassionate employee who helps a struggling colleague or an individual who returns to pay for a forgotten item are simple yet powerful examples of

virtue-based decisions in the workplace.³¹

In medicine, virtue ethics offers a compelling alternative to rule-based or consequence-based approaches. Consider the case of Dr. Chin, a neurologist who discovers that his patient's seizures have no neurological cause.³³ While a deontologist might simply mandate "tell the truth" and a consequentialist might calculate the emotional harm, a virtue ethicist would focus on the doctor's character.³³ The virtuous doctor must cultivate virtues like humility, compassion, honesty, and courage to deliver this difficult diagnosis in a humane way.³³ This framework is uniquely suited for fields that involve complex human interactions and require balancing conflicting goods, acknowledging that rigid rules are often insufficient to guide ethical conduct in a clinical setting.³⁴

This approach can also be scaled to the organizational level, informing ethical leadership and corporate governance.³⁵ One practical exercise for professionals involves the creation of a "Virtue Table" to identify, contextualize, and cultivate virtues relevant to their field.³⁶ This structured activity translates the abstract philosophical concept of the Golden Mean into a concrete tool for self-reflection and professional development.

Virtue	Description	Vices (Excess & Deficiency)	Obstacles	Moral Exemplar
Integrity	Living according to one's principles no matter the cost, acting consistently and in alignment with ethical principles.	- Excess: Fanaticism or inflexibility. - Deficiency: Duplicity or unprincipled behavior.	Lack of moral courage, fear of reprisal, or conflicting financial values.	A respected leader who stands by their ethical principles in the face of pressure.
Humility	Knowing what one does not know as a professional or as a scientific community.	- Excess: Self-deprecation or low self-worth. - Deficiency: Arrogance or excessive pride.	Lack of self-awareness or an environment that punishes mistakes.	A practitioner who admits their limitations and seeks help for the common good.

Compassion	Having empathy and concern for the well-being of others and acting with kindness.	- Excess: Over-involvement or emotional burnout. - Deficiency: Insensitivity or indifference.	Burnout, overwhelming patient load, or an emotionally detached professional culture.	A physician who takes the time to deliver difficult news with empathy.
-------------------	---	---	--	--

The Personal Pursuit of Virtue

Beyond professional applications, virtue ethics offers a clear and enduring guide for personal development. The cultivation of virtues requires a deliberate and multi-faceted approach. The primary method is **habituation through practice**, where individuals perform virtuous actions repeatedly until they become second nature.²³ This must be accompanied by

reflection on personal experience to gain self-knowledge and a deeper understanding of one's values.³⁷

Learning from the lives of **virtuous exemplars**—whether personal, historical, or fictional—provides powerful role models for moral conduct.¹¹ Additionally, engaging in

dialogue and friendships of mutual accountability is crucial, as moral development is a social, communal process that benefits from shared experience and support.³⁷ For those within a Christian spiritual tradition, the act of

prayer is considered the primary activity for exercising all virtues simultaneously, as it strengthens the foundational virtues of faith, hope, and charity, and in turn, the cardinal virtues as well.³⁸

Chapter 9: Critiques, Challenges, and Enduring Strengths

While virtue ethics has experienced a significant revival, it is not immune to philosophical and

psychological challenges. A comprehensive understanding of the field requires an honest engagement with its critiques.

Lack of Action-Guidance

The most common criticism of virtue ethics is that it fails to provide clear, prescriptive guidance for resolving moral dilemmas, in contrast to the rule-based or consequence-based theories.²⁴ This objection argues that a theory should provide a clear decision-procedure for moral dilemmas. Proponents of virtue ethics counter that this is a misunderstanding of the theory's purpose. Virtue ethics is not a set of rules for a moral calculator; it is a framework for character development. The guidance it provides comes from the intellectual virtue of

phronesis.¹¹ It teaches individuals to ask, "What would a virtuous person do?" and to use their judgment to find the right action in the situation.³

The Situationist Challenge

A more significant critique, originating from social psychology, is the "situationist challenge".⁴⁰ This argument posits that stable character traits do not exist in the way that virtue ethics assumes. Research suggests that human behavior is often determined more by minor situational factors than by consistent, global character traits.⁴² Therefore, if a person's behavior is inconsistent and unreliable, the entire project of character-based ethics may be built upon a flawed premise.⁴²

Virtue ethicists have mounted a robust response to this challenge, arguing that the critique misunderstands the Aristotelian conception of virtue. A virtuous character is not a rigid, all-or-nothing trait but a consistent disposition that is nevertheless context-dependent.⁴⁰ A person can be consistently punctual without being punctual in every single situation.⁴² This ongoing dialogue with empirical psychology demonstrates a living, evolving field that is capable of refining its concepts in response to new data.

The Problem of Moral Luck

The problem of moral luck highlights the tension between our deeply held intuition that morality should be immune to luck and the reality that external factors beyond our control can profoundly affect our moral standing.¹⁷ A person who attempts a murder but fails due to a jammed gun is considered an "attempted murderer" due to luck, whereas a person who succeeds in the same act is a "murderer".⁴³ This poses a unique challenge for a theory based on character: if the achievement of flourishing is dependent on good fortune, as Aristotle himself acknowledged, then a person's moral status is not entirely within their control.¹⁵ Virtue ethicists respond to this by re-evaluating our notions of praise and blame, and by distinguishing between moral value (which might be independent of luck) and ethical value (which may be more susceptible to it).¹⁷ The enduring engagement with these critiques, far from destroying the theory, has forced it to become more robust and empirically informed, showcasing its intellectual strength and adaptability.¹⁹

Conclusion: The Enduring Relevance of Virtue Ethics

Virtue ethics is a comprehensive and compelling ethical framework with a rich history that spans millennia. From its Socratic and Platonic roots to its systematic development by Aristotle, and its masterful synthesis with theology by Aquinas, the tradition has consistently focused on the central question of what it means to be a good person.¹ Its modern revival, sparked by figures like Anscombe and MacIntyre, can be viewed as a philosophical re-evaluation of the Enlightenment project and a call to return to a coherent, character-based ethical vocabulary.²⁷

In a world of complex moral dilemmas and a fragmented moral vocabulary, virtue ethics offers a powerful and necessary framework. It shifts the ethical conversation from the abstract and often unresolvable debates over rules and consequences to a practical, holistic, and lifelong project of self-development. By focusing on the cultivation of virtues, the guidance of practical wisdom, and the pursuit of human flourishing, virtue ethics provides a guide for navigating personal and professional challenges that transcends rigid rules and shifting outcomes. It remains a vital and enduring lens through which to examine and shape the human experience.

Works cited

1. Virtue ethics - Wikipedia, accessed September 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Virtue_ethics
2. iep.utm.edu, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://iep.utm.edu/virtue/#:~:text=Instead%20of%20asking%20what%20is,of%20the%20concept%20of%20character.>

3. Virtue Ethics | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://iep.utm.edu/virtue/>
4. Thinking Ethically - Markkula Center for Applied Ethics - Santa Clara University, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.scu.edu/ethics/ethics-resources/ethical-decision-making/thinking-ethically/>
5. What are ethical frameworks? — Center for Professional Personnel Development, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://aese.psu.edu/teachag/curriculum/modules/bioethics-1/what-are-ethical-frameworks>
6. Virtue Ethics (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy), accessed September 21, 2025, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/ethics-virtue/>
7. scholar.umw.edu, accessed September 21, 2025, https://scholar.umw.edu/context/student_research/article/1278/viewcontent/Virtue_Ethics_Deontology_and_Consequentialism.pdf
8. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Virtue_ethics#:~:text=Aristotle%20states%20that%20the%20virtues,be%20found%20in%20Plato's%20Republic.
9. Platonic Ethics | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/platonic-ethics>
10. Understanding the Stoic Concept of Virtue, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.stoicsimple.com/understanding-the-stoic-concept-of-virtue/>
11. 4.1 Origins and Core Principles of Virtue Ethics - Fiveable, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://fiveable.me/ethics/unit-4/origins-core-principles-virtue-ethics/study-guide/rLV5DFVTHgB4mSfG>
12. Virtue Ethics - Ethics Unwrapped, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://ethicsunwrapped.utexas.edu/glossary/virtue-ethics>
13. 15 Virtue Ethics Examples (2025) - Helpful Professor, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://helpfulprofessor.com/virtue-ethics-examples/>
14. The Golden Mean of Aristotle | Philosophy & Representation | Study ..., accessed September 21, 2025, <https://study.com/academy/lesson/golden-mean-aristotle-overview-philosophy.html>
15. Ethics Explainer: What is eudaimonia?, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://ethics.org.au/ethics-explainer-eudaimonia/>
16. Eudaimonia | Definition & Facts | Britannica, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/eudaimonia>
17. Moral Luck | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://iep.utm.edu/moralluc/>
18. Phronesis | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/religion-and-philosophy/phronesis>
19. Cultivating Practical Wisdom | Bioethics, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://bioethics.hms.harvard.edu/journal/furlan-editors-letter>
20. www.tandfonline.com, accessed September 21, 2025,

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03057240.2023.2291990#:~:text=Aristotle%20himself%20said%20phronesis%20is,160>.

21. Aristotelian phronesis as a key factor for leadership in the knowledge-creating company according to - Redalyc, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.redalyc.org/journal/205/20568239003/html/>
22. Golden mean (philosophy) - Wikipedia, accessed September 21, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden_mean_\(philosophy\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden_mean_(philosophy))
23. How to Develop Virtue in a Stoic Way by Chris Gill and Tim LeBon | Modern Stoicism, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://modernstoicism.com/how-to-develop-virtue-in-a-stoic-way-by-chris-gill-and-tim-lebon/>
24. Arguments against Virtue Ethics : r/askphilosophy - Reddit, accessed September 21, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/2ttf7s/arguments_against_virtue_ethics/
25. iep.utm.edu, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://iep.utm.edu/thomas-aquinas/#:~:text=Despite%20his%20interest%20in%20law,of%20the%20theological%2C%20intellectual%2C%20and>
26. Aquinas: Moral Philosophy | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://iep.utm.edu/thomasaquinas-moral-philosophy/>
27. Modern Moral Philosophy - Wikipedia, accessed September 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Modern_Moral_Philosophy
28. G. E. M. Anscombe's "Modern Moral Philosophy", accessed September 21, 2025, <https://1000wordphilosophy.com/2022/05/20/anscombe/>
29. After Virtue by Alasdair MacIntyre | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/literature-and-writing/after-virtue-alasdair-macintyre>
30. After Virtue - Wikipedia, accessed September 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/After_Virtue
31. study.com, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://study.com/academy/lesson/virtue-ethics-in-the-workplace-approach-examples.html#:~:text=Examples%20of%20virtue%20ethics%20include,he%20forgo%20to%20pay%20for>.
32. Virtue Ethics in Business | Overview, Approach & Examples - Study.com, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://study.com/academy/lesson/virtue-ethics-in-the-workplace-approach-examples.html>
33. A Virtue Ethics Approach to Framing Troublesome Diagnoses - AMA Journal of Ethics, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/virtue-ethics-approach-framing-troublesome-diagnoses/2011-12>
34. Courage and Compassion: Virtues in Caring for So-Called "Difficult" Patients, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/courage-and-compassion-virtues-ca>

[ring-so-called-difficult-patients/2017-04](#)

35. Virtue Ethics as a Model for Leadership Development - PMC, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7329376/>
36. 1.5: Theory-Building Activities - Virtue Ethics - Business LibreTexts, accessed September 21, 2025, [https://biz.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Management/Book%3A_Corporate_Governance_\(Frey_and_CruzCruz\)/01%3A_Ethical_Leadership/1.05%3A_Theory-Building_Activities_-_Virtue_Ethics](https://biz.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Management/Book%3A_Corporate_Governance_(Frey_and_CruzCruz)/01%3A_Ethical_Leadership/1.05%3A_Theory-Building_Activities_-_Virtue_Ethics)
37. how is virtue cultivated?: - seven strategies for postgraduate ..., accessed September 21, 2025, <https://oxfordcharacter.org/uploads/files/how-is-virtue-cultivated.pdf>
38. How Can I Grow in Virtue? Part I of II - SpiritualDirection.com, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://spiritualdirection.com/2015/12/14/how-can-i-grow-in-virtue-part-i-of-ii>
39. Virtue Ethics: A Moral Framework for the Modern World | by Cherifa Bochra Soltani - Medium, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://medium.com/@cbochras/virtue-ethics-a-moral-framework-for-the-modern-world-e0ed0f39786>
40. Critiques of virtue ethics? : r/askphilosophy - Reddit, accessed September 21, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/j53iwf/critiques_of_virtue_ethics/
41. Virtue Ethics and Right Action: A Critique, accessed September 21, 2025, <https://openaccess.wgtn.ac.nz/ndownloader/files/36850536>
42. Best Criticism of Virtue Ethics? : r/askphilosophy - Reddit, accessed September 21, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/simpba/best_criticism_of_virtue_ethics/
43. (PDF) Common-Sense Virtue Ethics and Moral Luck - ResearchGate, accessed September 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227125412_Common-Sense_Virtue_Ethics_and_Moral_Luck